	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Notice of Allowability			
	10/710,254 Examiner	GEMME ET AL. Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Scott Bauer	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Ill claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS erewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 IOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F If the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED ii) or other appropriate commi RIGHTS. This application is t	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course	e. THIS e initiati
☐ This communication is responsive to the Amendment of 1	/30/2206.		
. The allowed claim(s) is/are 12-22.		·	
. 🔀 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been receive	d in this national stage application fro	om the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:		,	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file MENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the requirem	nents
A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	nitted. Note the attached EX ves reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTIC r declaration is deficient.	E OF
. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	rson's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached	
 (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date 	r's Amendment / Comment o		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C	the drawings in the front (not the back FR 1.121(d).) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	OSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note t OLOGICAL MATERIAL.	he `
Attachment(s)	5 🖂 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413),	-,
. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	./Mail Date	
. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08), 7. ⊠ Examiner'	s Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date 6/29/2004 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner	s Statement of Reasons for Allowand	е
of Biological Material			
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	<u></u>	

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Larry Hume, Reg. No. 44,163 on 4/10/2006.

2. In Lines 2 & 3 of Claim 12 the phrase, "aimed at managing" has been removed and replaced with the phrase --for managing a--.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. In view of Applicant's statement on page 6 of the Amendment filed 1/30/2006, all references cited on the IDS have been considered based on their inclusion in the ISR of the parent PCT application. As such the objection to the references DE-850018, DE-1050430, and DE1191884 have been withdrawn.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on 1/30/2006. These drawings are accepted. Application/Control Number: 10/710,254 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 7 of the Amendment, filed 1/30/2006, with respect to Claims 1-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 1-11 has been withdrawn as the claims have been canceled.

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8 & 9 of the Amendment, filed 1/30/2006, with respect to Claims 12-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The claims are novel and non-obvious over the prior art of record.

Discussion of the Prior Art

- 7. Claims 12-22 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 9. As stated in the previous office action, Kortschinski in view of Sanford and further in view of Puccinelli et al. teaches a fault current limiting system comprising fast switching means, a current path comprising a limiting fuse that is parallel to the switching means, a switching system to replace blown fuses with an unblown fuse after a fault condition, and a control unit which receives signals indicative of starting fault conditions and sending a switch command to the switching means when the fault current is above a threshold.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,254

Art Unit: 2836

10. The prior art of record does not teach that a circuit breaker separate from the switching means for managing current breaking operation on a power distribution line in absence of a fault wherein the breaker receives commands from a control unit wherein the control unit estimates the amplitude of the fault current and sends open commands to the breaker and the switching means when the fault current rises above a predefined threshold and the control unit sends an opening command only to the circuit breaker when the fault current remains below the predetermined threshold.

Page 4

- Perichon (US 2002/0097542) teaches an electric distribution Device wherein a 11. first (8) and second (12) breaking means are driven by control units (9 & 13). The first breaking means is opened when a current threshold is exceeded and the second breaking device opens when the current in the second device is lower then a second threshold. However, Perichon does not teach the use of the switches with a fuse element or that both breakers are driven together when a first voltage is exceeded but that only one breaker is driven when a lesser voltage is exceeded. As such, there would be no motivation to combine Kortschinski in view of Sanford and further in view of Puccinelli with Perichon, nor would the combination teach the invention of Claim 12.
- Claims 13-20 are allowable as they depend from Claim 12, which is also 12. allowable.
- Claims 21 & 22 are allowable for the same reasons as Claim 12 as subject 13. matter of both claims are in parallel to the subject matter of Claim 12.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,254 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bauer whose telephone number is 571-272-5986. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAB 04/11/2006

M

PHUONGT.VU PRIMARY EXAMINER